

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,111	03/08/2005	Madan Pushpakath	SG 020023	9521
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIAD CLUET MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, GAUTAM	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	

	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/527,111	PUSHPAKATH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	· Art Unit			
	Gautam R. Patel	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 February 2007</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) cobjected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/527,111

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 8-14 are pending for the examination.

RCE STATUS

2. The request filed on 2/15/07 for Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 8-14 objected for following reasons.

Claims 9-14 are objected as being dependent on claims which were cancelled before. It is not clear which claims they really need to depend from and which limitations are they further limiting.

Corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

4. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9, is confusing and unclear. It is not clear which claim, claim 9 should really depend upon since claim 1 is a cancelled claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if

Application/Control Number: 10/527,111

Art Unit: 2627

the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ariyoshi et al., US. patent 6,918,130 (hereafter Ariyoshi).

As to claim 8, Ariyoshi discloses the invention as claimed [see Figs. 45-47] including a turntable and an additional means, comprising:

a turntable for supporting and rotating said information carrier; and

[an] additional means for applying and end load on said outer area of said information carrier for setting a predetermined height difference between said inner area and said outer area, said predetermined height difference being greater than a maximum initial height difference occurring in a circular information carrier [col. 20, line 48 to col. 22, line 35].

- 6. A search based on the best understanding of the claims has been made to find the most pertinent art, but no statement about invention will be appropriate at this time regarding the allowableness of claims 9-14 and no art rejection will be made in this office action regarding the claims 9-14, due to the speculation required to interpret the claims because of their indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs as noted above (see In re Steele, 134 USPO 292).
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims 8-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Possible Allowable Subject Matter

8. Figure 3 may have allowable subject matter if all details are claimed. This is subject to further search and consideration.

Contact information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

Art Unit: 2627

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2600) where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dwayne Bost, who can be reached on (571) 272-7023.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Electronic Business Center whose telephone number is 866-217-9197 or the USPTO contact Center telephone number is (800) PTO-9199.

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2627

GAUTAM R. PATEL
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

May 2, 2007